# Information Processing of Advertising among Young People: The Elaboration Likelihood Model as Applied to Youth

TALI TE'ENI-HARARI Bar-Ilan University hararit@mail.biu.ac.il

SHLOMO I. LAMPERT Bar-Ilan University lampers@mail.biu.ac.il

SAM LEHMAN-WILZIG Bar-llan University wilzis@mall.biu.ac.il The purpose of this study was to test whether Petty and Cacioppo's Elaboration Likelihood Model is relevant to young people. An earlier central study on adults was replicated, through 330 in-depth interviews among three age groups (4–7, 8–11, 12–15). The findings: young people do not use either the central or peripheral route for changing attitudes as in the original adult studies. Indeed, in all three age groups, the young people's attitudes were similar in both high and low involvement. We offer explanations for these surprising findings.

THIS STUDY APPLIES an important model from psychology and advertising—the Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) (Cacioppo and Petty, 1989; Petty and Cacioppo, 1981)—to young people. This model remains a major framework for explaining advertising effects (Agostinelli and Grube, 2002; Chang, 2002; Chebat, Charlebois, and Gelinas-Chebat, 2001; Chebat, Vercollier, and Gelinas-Chebat, 2003; Coulter, 2005; Coulter and Punji, 2004; Livingstone and Helsper, 2006; Scholten, 1996; Whittler and Spira, 2002). Yet, the ELM has rarely been applied to research with young people or to distinguish between persuasion processes at different stages of cognitive development (Livingstone and Helsper, 2006).

An investigation into ELM's relevance to young people promises to deepen our understanding regarding the way advertising influences young-sters. Moreover, completing the picture would help resolve some ethical questions pertaining to issues of fairness: are young people fair target for advertisers—or are some limits appropriate? (Kunkel and Wilcox, 2001).

Within the framework of applying the ELM to young people, this article discusses several central concepts: advertisements, involvement, information processing of advertisements, and advertisement efficacy (Table 1).

#### ON THE CONCEPT OF INVOLVEMENT

What creates involvement is the personal significance that the individual ascribes to the object (the message, situation, product) (Antil, 1984).

Zaichkowsky (1986) related to the relationship between the concept of involvement and the cognitive elaboration of the advertisement. In her view, this variable takes on considerable importance in the study of advertising effectiveness, as involvement serves as a mediating variable in determining the degree of the advertisement's influence on the receiver. Yet despite the considerable research on involvement, many researchers are convinced that no complete understanding of the involvement concept has yet been formulated. In the view of some, it is very important that additional work be conducted to examine the issue of involvement (Day, Stafford, and Camacho, 1995; Zaichkowsky, 1986).

#### THE ELABORATION LIKELIHOOD MODEL

According to the ELM the elaboration process of advertising data among adults can take two separate routes depending on the level of involvement. On the higher-involvement level, adults elaborated data through a central route, being persuaded by means of a strong message that appeared in the advertisement. On the other hand,

#### ABLE 1

### entral Research Terms and Variables

The Advertise	ement		
e of message	Strong		
	Weak		
aracter attractiveness	Attractive character		
	Nonattractive character		
Involveme	ent		
lity to process the information	Personal variables:		
nd to process the interpret	<ul> <li>Cognitive development</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Prior knowledge</li> </ul>		
	Message's nature		
	Situational factors		
rel of motivation to process information	High (promised gift)		
	Low (without gift)		
3	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
The Information	Processing		
Elaboration Likelihood Model	Central route		
	Peripheral route		
ernative model	One unified route		
8			
The Advertisem	ent Effect		
itude toward the advertisement	0–100		
141-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	0-100		
itude toward the brand	0-100		

e: The boldface terms are the study's variables.

a lower-involvement level adults elabted the data through a peripheral route, ng persuaded by the attractiveness of advertisement character (Cacioppo and ty, 1989; Petty and Cacioppo, 1981; Petty, cioppo, and Schumann, 1983).

The use of the central route increases en both motivation and the ability to ak about the message are high. On the er hand, when motivation and/or the lity to think are low, chances of subnitial thought decreases and persuasion I occur along the peripheral route scioppo, Petty, and Stoltenberg, 1985).

To determine which route will be taken, one has to first know the individual's level of motivation to process information. Motivation is mainly influenced by, and positively correlated to, perceived personal relevance (Cacioppo and Petty, 1989; Petty and Cacioppo, 1979, 1986a, 1986b, 1990).

In addition, one has to determine whether the receiver is capable of processing the message through the central route. This is a function of the message's nature (level of complexity, repetition), situational factors (e.g., environmental noise

undercutting concentration), and personal variables (e.g., previous knowledge) (Cacioppo and Petty, 1989; Petty and Cacioppo, 1983, 1984).

In a major study of the ELM (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schumann, 1983), college students were asked to look at a print advertisement for a new razor blade (Edge). The high-involvement group was told that the product would soon be purchasable in their neighborhood, and after viewing the advertisement each participant could select one package of the blades. The low-involvement group was told that the product would not be available in their neighborhood for a while, and as recompense for participating in the test each was given a modest gift: toothpaste.

Four versions of the Edge advertisement were prepared. The differences between the advertisements involved two variables: type of message (strong/ weak) and character attractiveness (famous personality/regular citizen). The study hypothesized that the persuasiveness of the message on the recipients' attitude would be greater when involvement was high. On the other hand, the persuasiveness of the attractive character would be greater when the receiver's involvement was low. The study's findings supported these hypotheses and thus the researchers concluded that highinvolvement persuasiveness worked as a result of information being processed through the central route; conversely, lowinvolvement persuasiveness used the peripheral route to process information.

The participants were also asked about the chances that they would purchase Edge in the future. The low-involvement participants were not influenced to purchase, whereas the high-involvement participants were influenced by the type of the message. Overall, then, the findings provided strong evidence of the existence of two information processing routes.

# Does ELM hold true for young people as well? Do they also have two information processing routes?

However, the fact that the ELM studies held constant the variable of information processing ability has led us to the central question of the present study: Does ELM hold true for young people as well? Do they also have two information processing routes?

If the present study succeeds in showing that high involvement leads to central route processing and low involvement to peripheral route processing, we will then have shown that the ELM is relevant to advertising processing among young people as well. Different findings would prove that the ELM does not apply to young people.

## THE PROCESSING OF ADVERTISING AS A FUNCTION OF AGE AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

The possibility that young people might use different routes is based on findings reported in numerous studies in advertising literacy and advertising effect among young people.

Numerous research studies have been undertaken over the past few decades to determine the development of advertising literacy among young people. Advertising literacy is understood as the skills of analyzing, evaluating, and creating persuasive messages across a variety of contexts and media (Livingstone and Helsper, 2006; Young, 2003). Those studies examined the awareness of persuasion intent (Brucks, Armstrong, and Goldberg, 1988; Donohue, Henke, and Donohue, 1980; John, 1999; Kunkel, 2001; Macklin, 1987; Moore, 2004; Robertson and Rossiter, 1974; Van Evra, 1990; Ward, Wackman, and Wartella, 1977; Young, 1990) and the ability to identify lack of balance and misleading intent (Bever, Smith, Bengen, and Johnson, 1975; Boush, 2001; Martin, 1997; Peterson and Lewis, 1988; Peterson, Jeffrey, Bridgewater, and Dawson, 1984; Ward, Wackman, and Wartella, 1977). According to these studies, around the age of 8, children evidently start to become more critical toward advertising messages and use the mechanisms at their disposal to filter the information passed on by the advertisement.

In addition, research studies have been taken to determine advertising effects among young people: attention to advertisements (Anderson and Lorch, 1983; Gunter and McAleer, 1997; Wartella and Ettema, 1974); understanding the contents (Liebert, Sprafkin, Liebert, and Rubinstein, 1977; Pawlowski, Badzinski, and Mitchell, 1998; Wartella, 1981); appreciating and liking the advertisements (Robertson and Rossiter, 1974; Van Evra, 1990); and the way advertising influences the behavior of different aged children (Atkin, 1975; Roedder, Sternthal, and Calder, 1983; Ward, Wackman, and Wartella, 1977).

The findings of the studies show that significant changes in the various levels of influence occur at around the age of 8. Up until then, children find it difficult to recall and understand advertisements, although it is evident that there is a high level of positive feeling toward the advertisement as well as strong influence of the advertisement on the children's behavior. From the age of 8 and up, the situation starts to change. Older children have better recall and understanding of the advertisement, but their positive feelings for the advertisements and behavioral effect are weaker in comparison to the younger ages. It should be noted, however, that in a recently published, wide-ranging survey of the research literature, evidence was found that children younger than 7 years old are the least influenced by advertising whereas those over 12 years old are the most influenced (Livingstone and Helsper, 2006).

In short, to better understand advertising information processing among young people, one must test the applicability of ELM to this group, broken down into a broad range of discrete age subgroups.

#### **HYPOTHESES**

The hypotheses of this study relate to all three age groups (4–7, 8–11, 12–15). Because the age of 8 has been found to signify a shift in the child's development, we distinguish between children 8 years or older and those below 8 years old.

Thus, the first four hypotheses of this study assume that young people 8 years old and above will process information along the same routes that adults use (i.e., a central as well as a peripheral route).

- H1: Among ages 12–15: The effect of an advertisement with central arguments will be stronger under conditions of high involvement than low involvement.
- H2: Among ages 12–15: The effect of an advertisement with attractive characters will be stronger under conditions of low involvement than high involvement.
- H3: Among ages 8–11: The effect of an advertisement with central arguments will be stronger under conditions of high involvement than low involvement.
- H4: Among ages 8–11: The effect of an advertisement with attractive characters will be stronger under conditions of low involvement than high involvement.

Based on previous research findings that vertising effectiveness is different for youngest age cohort than for older es, the final two hypotheses assume it children under the age of 8 will prosinformation differently than adults, will not use both routes, as 4–7 year is have a far more limited cognitive pability than their adult (or older adocent) counterparts.

- H5: Among ages 4–7: The effect of an advertisement with central arguments will be equal under conditions of high involvement and low involvement.
- H6: Among ages 4–7: The effect of an advertisement with attractive characters will be stronger under conditions of high involvement than low involvement.

#### THODOLOGY

#### ects

ee groups of young people particied in the study: age group 4-7; age
up 8-11; age group 12-15. The choice
these age groups is based on findis reported in numerous studies on
ertising information processing and
ertising effectiveness among young
ple, as noted above. In addition, as
iosed to most other studies on young
ple (Livingstone and Helsper, 2006),
study deals with a wide range of
groups in order to test whether the
erlying hypothesis is universal for
youth or rather applicable orly to
tific age cohorts.

three age groups, we chose young ple at the median age of each group: (preschool); 9–10 (fourth grade); 13–14 hth grade). The field research sample was 330 young people, divided re-

spectively among the three age groups: 111 (young children); 106 (children); 113 (adolescents).

#### Procedure

The study was based on 24 cells of analysis: 3 age groups  $\times$  2 levels of involvement (high/low)  $\times$  2 types of message (central/peripheral)  $\times$  2 character attractiveness (attractive/unattractive character).

#### Step by step description

The first stage involved a preliminary research through in-depth, face-to-face interviewing of 61 young people in the 4-15 age range, designed to choose strong and weak messages regarding the product and selecting attractive and unattractive characters for the advertisements to be shown. In other words, the goal of this stage was to develop the four advertisements. Thus, the characters chosen according to the respondent's answers were: "mother" as the attractive character and "neighbor" as the unattractive character, each respectively comprising a strong message—

"taste"—and a weak message—about the "package."

Another goal of the preliminary research was to fit the concepts in the questionnaire to the young respondents so that they would understand the questions and provide authentic answers. In addition, the Pollimeter was utilized (details in the Appendix). Finally, the preliminary research found that the young people were able to sit through and answer the questionnaire as a whole.

In the end, four advertisements were designed in accordance with the results of the preliminary research. The differences between the four advertisements involved two elements: type of message and character (see Table 2).

At stage two, comprehensive, quantitative field research was carried out. Every child was interviewed individually, face-to-face, during the morning and early afternoon hours, within the confines of each child's school (or kindergarten) in a separate room or quiet corner to avoid disruptions. The interview involved the following parts in this order:

İ

1

TABLE 2

Argument Quality and Character (Un)Attractiveness

	Type of Message				
	(Argument	t Quality)			
	Strong	Weak			
Type of character (attractiveness,	)				
Attractive	Mom always says: the	Mom always says: the			
	tastiest chocolate in	chocolate in the nicest			
	the world is "Shokolak."	package is "Shokolak."			
	The residence change	The neighbor always			
Unattractive	The neighbor always	9			
	says: the tastiest	says: the chocolate			
	chocolate in the world	in the nicest package			
	is "Shokolak."	is "Shokolak."			

Part 1: Advertisement presentation and manipulation—every child was shown one advertisement. The respondents were divided into two groups. One group was promised a gift at the end of the interview (defined as the high-involvement group) while the second group was explicitly told that they would not

receive a gift at the end (low-

involvement group).

Part 2: Personal questions-in order to

provide a methodologically necessary pause between presenting the advertisement and the questions regarding the advertisement, as well as collecting personal background data, seven personal questions were put forward such as leisure time habits, extent of television view-

Part 3: Questioning the child—here the effect of the advertisement was tested.

ing, etc.

Stage three involved six focus groups of the to six respondents each, two for each e group. The sessions offered the paripants the opportunity to explain their finions regarding several of the research ues (e.g., involvement, gifts, advertiseents), thereby enabling a better under-

inding of the quantitative findings'

mificance.

nts (12-15).

vel of cognitive development. As noted, s study focused on young people in tee age groups: young children (aged 7), children (aged 8–11), and adoles-

volvement. The present study focused situational involvement that was de-

fined by Mitchell (1979) as internal arousal, personal interest, or willingness to act—all as a function of a specific situation.

Through a promised gift (or lack thereof), each child's involvement was manipulated positively or negatively—replicating the original study (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schumann, 1983). However, as opposed to the original study, in the present study no specific material gift was promised, but rather the abstract term "gift" was used so that the influence of the "gift" concept would be tested without worrying about the positive/negative attitudes the respondents held regarding any specific gift.

To guarantee that the manipulation

worked consciously, each child was asked at the end of the questionnaire whether a gift was promised. The nine children who did not recall whether or not they were promised a gift were removed from the study.

Type of message. In the original study (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schumann, 1983), the stronger argument was based on scientific elements related to the razor blade, whereas the weaker one regarded its esthetic aspects. The present study also used strong and weak arguments: the former involved the taste of the chocolate; the latter, its packaging. The arguments chosen were based on the results of the preliminary research study.

mann, 1983), character attractiveness related to such characteristics as personal traits, external appearance, and group affiliation. In the original study, use was made of professional athletes versus middle-aged anonymous people. As noted above, our study used the image of Mother

as the attractive character, and Neighbor

Character attractiveness. According to the

original study (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schu-

as the unattractive character—again, a result of the preliminary research study.

Dependent variable—advertisement effect. In this study, the examination of the effectiveness of the advertisement focused on the participant's attitudes due to their central role in predicting behavior. Previous research in this field has shown that attitudes toward the advertisement, the brand, and the purchase intent were the most important measures of the message's effectiveness (Cacioppo, Petty, and Stoltenberg, 1985; Lutz, 1975; Mackenzie, Lutz, and Belch, 1986; Mazis and

Adkinson, 1976; Olson and Mitchell, 1975;

Petty and Cacioppo, 1981; Petty, Ca-

1. Attitude toward the advertisement: The

cioppo, and Schumann, 1983).

- participant's attitude toward the advertisement was tested using elements suggested by Mitchell and Olson (1981): Good/Bad advertisement; Interesting/Uninteresting advertisement; Not Irritating/Irritating; Like/Dislike. These attitudes were scored by the use of the Pollimeter, with the response scores ranging from 0–100 (see the Appendix). The attitude scores of the various elements were combined and equally averaged into an index. Kronbach's alpha yielded 0.706 (n = 330) internal reliability for this combined index of
- 2. Attitude toward the brand: Belch (1982) examined brand attitude using the differential semantic scale relating to four elements: bad/good brand, foolish/wise brand, unfavorable/favorable brand, harmful/beneficial brand. In this study, some of the scales suggested by Belch were changed as it was found in the preliminary research that the children did not understand some of

the concepts. The scales used in the

current study were: good/bad brand,

four subvariables.

smart/stupid brand, healthy/harmful brand (relevant to a food product), and a brand that should be/shouldn't be in the home. Here too the Pollimeter was employed, and all four scores were combined into an overall index (Kronbach's alpha = 0.759, n = 330).

Purchase intent: In this study, the purchase intent of young people was examined while relating to both the personal purchase intent as well as the intent to request the brand from their parents. The measurement was carried out by means of the Pollimeter. After the measurement, the personal purchase intent and purchase request from parents were combined (by means of the average) into a complex index (Pearson correlation between the two responses: n = 330, r = 0.555, p = .000).

#### SULTS

#### neral findings

0.848)

rolvement level and advertising effeceness. No significant differences were and when testing the difference beben advertising effectiveness among h-involvement respondents and their r-involvement counterparts in all their tude measures:

Attitude toward the advertisement: (f = 6.81, df = 1, sig = 0.918) Attitude toward the brand: (f = 0.01, df = 1, sig = 0.929) Purchase intent: (f = 0.04, df = 1, sig = 0.04)

olvement level, age, and advertising ectiveness. The youngest to the oldest cohorts with high involvement disyed no difference in all three attitude asures:

The main conclusion of this study is that in contradistinction to adults, children and early adolescents do not use the two routes for processing information.

Attitude toward the advertisement: (f = 1.19, df = 2, sig = 0.304) Attitude toward the product: (f = 0.57, df = 2, sig = 0.57) Purchase intent: (f = 1.57, df = 2, sig = 0.209)

Message type, advertisement character, age, and advertising effectiveness. The attitude toward the advertisement was found to be significantly influenced by the message type (f = 7.56, df = 1, sig = 0.006) and age (f = 39.98, df = 2, sig = 0.000)—that is, the likeability of the advertisement decreases as the age of a young person increases (ages 4-7: m = 65.92, sd = 28.21; ages 8-11: m = 53.85, sd = 27.04; ages 12-15: m = 35.35, sd = 21.45). The attitude toward the advertisement was not influenced by the advertisement character.

The attitude toward the brand was not significantly influenced by any of these three independent variables.

A significant effect was found between purchase intent and the age of the subject (f = 4.03, df = 2, sig = 0.019), i.e., purchase intent decreases as the age of the subject increases (ages 4–7: m = 55.20, sd = 38.44; ages 8–11: m = 46.11, sd = 36.12; ages 12–15: m = 41.22, sd = 33.97). Purchase intent was not influenced by message type and advertisement character.

In short, attitude toward the advertisement was influenced by age and message type. Purchase intent was influenced by age alone. The general findings are exhibited in Tables 3–5.

#### Hypotheses testing

The hypotheses were analyzed through a *t*-test for independent groups. Hypotheses H1–H4 and H6 were not confirmed, i.e., no significant differences were found between involvement levels under the various conditions (strong argument and attractive character). On the other hand, Hypothesis H5 was validated as no differences were found among the age 4–7 cohort at different involvement levels for an advertisement with a strong argument. The hypotheses tests are exhibited in Tables 6–8.

To sum up the findings, the level of involvement among young people does not significantly influence advertising effectiveness under different conditions. All three age groups whose involvement level was either high or low were similarly influenced by the advertisements.

#### DISCUSSION

The main conclusion of this study is that in contradistinction to adults (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schumann, 1983), children and early adolescents do not use the two routes for processing information. This study's findings provide evidence that the involvement variable, which is critical among adults in explaining the use of two information processing routes, does not have the same effect on young people—no significant differences were found in advertising effectiveness between high and low involvement.

These findings and the concornitant overall conclusion are extremely interesting

ABLE 3 ttitudes toward the Advertising

	Attitude toward the Advertisement							
ge Group	Involvement	п	М	SD				
-7	Low	56	66.41	26.31				
	High	55	65.42	30.27				
	Total	111	65.92	28.21				
-11	Low	54	50.86	28.07				
	High	52	56.95	25.83				
	Total '	106	53.85	27.04	1			
2-15	Low	59	37.43	21.94				
	High	54	33.07	20.87				
	Total	113	35.35	21.45				
ıtal	Low	169	51.32	28.02				
	High	161	51.84	29.27				
	Total	330	51.57	28.60				

Attituda	toward	the	<b>Advertisement</b>	
Attitude	LUWaru	ure	WOAG! (Izelligiir	

эштсе	df	f	p	
pe of message	1	7.56	0.006	
ource of the message	1	0.63	0.430	
je group	2	39.98	0.000	
volvement	1	6.81	0.918	
ge group * involvement	2	1.19	0.304	

It: n = total number in the sample, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, df = degree of freedom, and p = mificance.

nd demand a wide-ranging discussion. ne following analysis, therefore, will deal ith the theoretical framework of the ELM, ith aspects of the specific sample group sted in the original study (Petty, Caoppo, and Schumann, 1983), and finally ith explanations not integral to the model. The explanation concerning ELM retes to the two factors that determine the formation processing route: motivation ud the ability to process information. Regarding motivation, the difference in

sults between young people and adults

might be related to the type of manipulation carried out in the research—use of a gift and the extent that this motivates the young people and adults to process information.

Young people today grow up in an environment that provides countless marketing and advertising stimuli. Among them one finds sales promotions that target young people specifically, offering attractive deals to entice youngsters to buy a specific brand. Data show that there has been a significant increase in use of this

tactic over time (McNeal, 1992). It is possible that due to gift "saturation" of the market its level of effectiveness on sales promotion activity targeting young people has been impaired. Young people encounter countless attractive deals and therefore are no longer easily enticed.

Thus, it is possible that for the adults tested in the original ELM studies, gift offers were a significant factor in raising motivation—more so than for young people growing up in our contemporary, hypermarketing environment.

Another important point in this context is the fact that the present research promised an unnamed, "abstract" present and not a concrete gift as in the prior ELM study. This could have led to our different result. Thus, we suggest a study using both an abstract and a concrete gift offer among adults and youth simultaneously (2 × 2 research design) to neutralize this issue.

Another important point related to this study's findings is connected to the variable of motivation and level of involvement. In the original ELM study, the involvement variable did not receive adequate attention at the individual level, simply assuming that everyone promised a razor blade would have high involvement and everyone promised toothpaste would have low involvement; The assumption that such a manipulation affects everyone in the same way, and that motivation can be studied merely; through the prism of the individual's specific situation in the study, has little basis of support. The variable of involvement has to be examined in a more serious and in-depth fashron, differentiating between different types and degrees of involvement (involvement in the situation, the product, and the advertisement), and certainly not assume ing that the involvement level can be predetermined.

ABLE 4
Attitudes toward the Brand as Influenced by the Independent Variables

Attitude toward the Brand						
ge Group	Involvement	n	M	SØ		
-7	Low	56	50.16	33.50		
	High	55	47.31	33.29		
.,,	Total	111	48.75	33.28		
-11	Low	54	44.68	26.90		
	High	52	49.06	23.77		
	Total <sub>/</sub>	106	46.83	25.39		
2-15	Low	59	44.96	21.68		
	High	54	42.60	23.93		
	Total	113	43.83	22.71		
otal	Low	169	46.59	27.65		
	High	161	46.30	27.41		
	Total	330	46.48	27.49		

#### Attitude toward the Brand

энгсе	df	f	p
pe of message	1	0.06	0.811
ource of the message	1	0.36	0.550
je group	2	0.91	0.405
volvement	1	0.01	0.929
je group * involvement	2	0.57	0.57

to n = total number in the sample, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, df = degree of freedom, and p = nificance.

Regarding ability, Cacioppo and Petty 189) defined several elements for deterning information processing ability: messe characteristics, situational factors, and rel of prior knowledge. Regarding the st (i.e., message complexity and repetieness), it does not seem that this caused y problems for the young people in our idy, as the message was designed rough preliminary research) to fit their groups. Each child could read (or be id to) as many times as necessary. The

situational factors, too, did not seem to be a problem as every effort was made to ensure that there would no surrounding interruptions or any cause to disrupt the young person's attention.

However, the lack of young people's central route processing might well derive from prior knowledge. The extent of prior knowledge and its mental organization is dependent on the memory system's development, on the receiver's cognitive level, and on the extent of prior

experience with relevant objects or subject matter. Mussen, Conger, Kagan, and Huston (1984) lend support to this explanation, arguing that many differences between youngsters and adults can be explained by the accumulation of facts and general knowledge as the individual gets older. This explanation would sharpen the issue regarding the place of the "prior knowledge" variable in information processing accumulated knowledge might well be the factor distinguishing between young people and adults. This issue calls for further research, taking into account each respondent's prior knowledge regarding the various aspects of advertising.

Another explanation regarding the lack of central route use by the young people is related to the specific nature of the adult population sampled in the original ELM study (Petty, Cacioppo, and Schumann, 1983): college students. It can be argued that students of higher education are a population group with cognitive abilities greater than the general population. They can be defined as having wider knowledge and also greater interest to process information. Indeed, Cacioppo and Petty (1982) argued that people who have a tendency toward cognitive thinking will also tend to process messages through the central route, as they enjoy investigating issues and investing mental energy in complex problem solving through seeking out hidden clues, differentiating between factors, and analyzing circumstances. In other words, such people enjoy the very exercise of thought. The research of Bakker (1999) as well as Zhang and Buda (1999) points to the importance of cognitive needs and the ramifications such a factor has on information processing.

As a result, one can safely assume that college students not only have a higher level of knowledge, but also a greater tendency to cognitive thinking in comparison to the general population. These two

TABLE 5

Purchase Intent as Influenced by the Independent Variables

Purchase intent							
Age Group	Involvement	n	M	SD			
47	Low	56	58.52	34.57			
	High	55	51.82	42.06			
	Total	111	55.20	38.44			
8–11	Low	54	48.47	38.10			
	High	52	43.66	34.13			
	Total	106	46.11	36.12			
12–15	Low	59	36.85	31.78			
	High	54	45.99	35.91			
	Total	113	41.22	33.97			
Total	Low	169	47.74	35.76			
100 m	High	161	47.23	37.52			
	Total	330	47.49	36.57			

	Purchase intent
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Source	df	<u>f</u>	P	
Type of message	1	0.87	0.353	
Source of the message	1	0.51	0.475	
Age group	2	4.03	0.019	<b>,,,,</b> ,,,,
Involvement	1	0.04	0.848	
Age group * involvement	2	1.57	0.209	

Note: n = total number in the sumple, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, df = degree of freedom, and p = significance.

variables together may well have led to the original study's findings of central toute processing—a finding that one cannot necessarily generalize to the population at large. In that case, the present indings regarding young people are actually representative of the less intellectually oriented population at large.

It should be noted that the other main esearch studies on ELM were based on ollege student populations as well (Petty nd Cacioppo, 1979; Petty, Cacioppo, and Ioldman, 1981; Schumann, Petty, and Ilemons, 1990). Thus, the present study's

findings regarding information processing among juveniles raise a question mark regarding the corpus of ELM work and suggest that further research among nonstudent adults is imperative to fully understand what happens with adults of different cognitive abilities.

The above explanations regarding motivation, ability, and research population can perhaps explain the absence of central route processing among young people. However, the present findings also discovered that young people do not use the peripheral route either. One solution

to this conundrum was offered by Petty and Wegener (1999) in an updated ELM study: we ought to view the information processing route as a spectrum. At one extreme we find high levels of information processing, while at the other extreme very low levels. In addition, they conclude that regarding the peripheral route one can find several qualitative elements, expressed through special processes: identification with the character,

In light of this ELM revision, it is possible to view the young people's results as a special route on the spectrum, somewhere between the pure central and peripheral routes. This route is "special" in that one cannot discern differences between low and high involvement.

A further explanation is not integral to

the model and is based on a different approach to adult information processing.

use of heuristics, and others.

O'Keefe (2002) offered an alternative model called the Unimodel of Persuasion—not always is there a significant difference between central and peripheral arguments (in the case at hand, between tasty chocolate and attractive packaging). Thus, argues O'Keefe, the receiver attempts to justify the conclusion/decision arrived at by way of the facts that were absorbed. If the facts are not significantly different, the message will be processed through

The similarity between the Unimodel of Persuasion and the present study's findings lies in the single route for information processing—blurring the lines between the central and peripheral routes.

one unified route.

A final interesting finding of this study is that advertising effectiveness is influenced by age. As age increases, positive attitudes toward advertising decrease, as well as purchase intent. The findings are in keeping with many previous studies that examined the influence of advertising on the attitudes and behavior of young

Hypothesis	H1:	12-15	Age	Group
------------	-----	-------	-----	-------

	Involvement :						
	Level	п	M	SD	t	df	p
ttitude toward the	Low	30	43.10	22.74	0.898	55.00	0.373
dvertisement	High	27	37.77	21.97			
ttitude toward the product	Low	30	45.29	23.15	0.280	55.00	0.781
torida torrain	High	27	43.50	25.20			
urchase intent	Low	30	40.56	30.64	-0.813	55.00	0.420
	High	27	47.94	37.83			
	Hypothesis H2	: 12	-15 Age	Group	4414441  =======		1 1401
ttitude toward the	Low	31	38.81	24.24	0.706	56.00	0.483
dvertisement	High	27	34.42	22,95			
ttitude toward the product	Low	31	45.65	24.45	0.295	56.00	0.769
64 TIGHTE SOFT OF SOTT OF SOFT OF SOFT OF SOFT OF SOFT OF SOFT OF SOTT OF SOFT OF SOTT	High	27	43.77	23.80			
urchase intent	Low	31	37.53	32.86	-0.224	56.00	0.823
	High	27	39.56	35.81			

the: n = total number in the sample, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, t = computed value of t-test, df = degree freedom, and p = significance.

eople at different ages (Anderson and orch, 1983; Atkin, 1975; Gunter and Mcleer, 1997; Liebert, Sprafkin, Liebert, and ubinstein, 1977; Pawlowski, Badzinski, id Mitchell, 1998; Robertson and Roster, 1974; Roedder, Sternthal, and Calder, 83; Van Evra, 1990; Ward, Wackman. id Wartella, 1977; Wartella, 1981; Warlla and Ettema, 1974). The fact that age is a significant impact on the way young ople are influenced by the advertising not surprising and does not break new ound. At the same time, it is revealing at the age of the subject does have a mificant influence, while involvement ies not have a significant influence on e attitudes of young people.

The present research constitutes a pioering study of advertising information ocessing among young people (Livingme and Helsper, 2006). As a result, fur-

ther research is necessary to answer questions that have now arisen. First and foremost, there is a need for a combined study of advertising information processing among young people and adults together. This would also enable us to discover at what age (if at all) the change of route occurs. Such research also has to take into account prior knowledge of the respondents (of whatever age). In addition, the involvement variable has to be looked at in greater depth as we noted earlier. Finally, it would be extremely useful to test the whole issue in other media as wellespecially television and the internetcentral to young people's lives today.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

These research findings have important implications in developing a specific advertising campaign oriented for young people. As there are significant differences in advertising information processing between young people and adults, one must allocate the necessary funds for campaigns addressed to young people. Additionally, even among young people one has to carefully choose the target audience by specific age group as the findings clearly show age to be a central variable, i.e., advertising effectiveness decreases with an increase in age among young people. Once the audience is chosen, the optimal budget and media mix have to be decided upon too.

Another important point relates to the question of motivation to process advertising information. This study's findings provide evidence that information processing is not significantly affected by situational involvement (i.e., the gift). Thus, one should look into the possibility of increasing audience motivation through highlighting the product's importance, i.e., to deal with the product involvement variable (Te'eni-Harari, 2004).

Choosing the appropriate character for the campaign is another important consideration. Using famous characters (real or cartoon) entails a large monetary investment. Many advertisers tend to decide on the most popular character based on audience fondness and identification. However, our findings show that the most well-liked or popular character will not necessarily be more effective than an unknown (and inexpensive) character. We cannot assume the conventional wisdom regarding popularity = effectiveness. It is important to note that this recommendation is valid when the advertising goal is to create positive attitudes and not necessarily when the main goal is message retention or creating message salience.

We provide a hypothetical example incorporating these suggestions. It involves a brand within the soft drink category Our findings show that the most well-liked or popular character will not necessarily be more effective than an unknown (and inexpensive) character. With young people, one cannot assume the conventional wisdom regarding popularity = effectiveness.

with the goal of creating a positive attitude toward the brand among young people.

The advertiser must first decide on, and focus upon, one of the primary age groups: 4–7, 8–11, or 12–15. This decision has to be based on the size of the group and other age group considerations such as soft drink habits, brand loyalty, etc. In addition, one must take into account that

youngsters are more influenced by advertising, so it can be expected that the financial investment will be greater for older age groups.

After choosing the audience age group, one has to check the relevance of soft drinks for this audience and try to increase the motivation of the young people to process advertising information. Furthermore, a choice has to be made regard-

TABLE 7
Hypotheses H3-H4: 8-11 Age Group

	Hypothesis H	3: 8-	-11 Age	Group		*************	
	Involvement Level	n	м	SD	t	df	р
Attitude toward the	Low	30	58.78	26.27	0.886	56.00	0.379
advertisement	High	28	52.63	26.62			
Attitude toward the product	Low	30	49.13	27.81	0.483	56.00	0.631
	High	28	45.86	23.17			
Purchase intent	Low	30	57.52	39.65	1.259	56.00	0.213
	High	28	45.21	34.33			
	Hypothesis H	l: 8-	11 Age	Group	,		
Attitude toward the	Low	27	44.07	28.12	-1.297	52.00	0.200
advertisement	High	27	54.28	29.67		00.1-0.11-00.1	
Attitude toward the product	Low	27	39.35	26.05	-0.919	52.00	0.362
	High	27	45.83	25.78			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Purchase intent	Low	27	45.31	38.43	-0.086		
	High	27	46.17	33.96			

Note: n = total number in the sample, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, t = computed value of t-test, df = degree of freedom, and p = significance.

ing the advertisement character—not necessarily one who is widely popular, as we noted above. The only important matter is effectiveness, at times attainable with an unfamiliar character.

To be sure, there is a second side to the

coin of advertising for young people. Following are several recommendations for media regulators and educators involved in teaching media literacy in general and critical viewing of commercials specifically. These recommendations are designed to achieve the best balance between all the parties' interests, and especially regarding fair advertising for young

people.

According to the study's findings, when an advertisement presents a weak argument or a less attractive character to young people, it does not mean ipso facto that it will not have a strong influence on them. Thus, educators and lawmakers must evaluate advertisements oriented to young people differently than we do regarding adult advertising. One cannot assume that advertisements for young people are not effective just because the main character or the advertising argument is not "impressive."

Another implication is that one must treat different age groups in different fashion when deciding what is to be considered "fair" advertising for young people. Again, as the age group becomes younger, the greater the advertising influence, thus necessitating greater caution. One example could be the number of repetitions permitted for each advertisement within a specific broadcast time period, depending on the age group. Children's television policy in the United States (U.S. Congress, 1990) limited the duration of advertising during children's programs, but did not limit the number of repetitions of the same advertisement. On the other hand, the Australian children's Television policy (ABT, 1991) requires all

Limothacie	HS.	4-7	Age	Group	

	Hypothesis Ho: 4-7 Age Group							
	Involvement Level	n	м	SD	t	df	ρ	
tude toward the	Low	31	68.14	27.49	-0.383	60.00	0.703	
vertisement	High	31	70.77	26.66				
itude toward the product	Low	31	47.44	33.98	-0.230	60.00	0.819	
	High	31	49.42	34.05	,,			
rchase intent	Low	31	56.69	35.56	0.870	60.00	0.388	
	High	31	48.03	42.50		***********		
	Hypothesis i	16: 4	-7 Age	Group		<b>,</b>		
itude toward the	Low	28	76.81	21.97	1.360	54.00	0.179	
vertisement	High	28	67,81	27.27				
itude toward the product	Low	28	62.00	31.40	1.702	54.00	0.095	
	High	28	57.20	33.66	,			
rchase intent	Low	28	64.07	31.14	1.987	48.81	0.053	
	High	28	43.93	43.69				

te n = total number in the sample, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, t = computed value of t-test, df = degree reedom, and p = significance.

vertising during children's programs not be repeated more than three times a sy or not more than once in any 15inute period (Lisosky, 2001). Our findgs strongly suggest that such legislation is to be expanded by limiting the numer of repetitions of each advertisement, lative to the specific program's audiice age.

In sum, when dealing with children id early teenagers, conventional adverting wisdom is not necessarily correct. arketers, advertisers, regulators, and edicators would all do well to consider a young people process the advertise information depending on their age oup.

LI TE'ENHARARI is a researcher in the field of conmer behavior of young people. She is a lecturer in 3 Graduate School of Business Administration and the Department of Political Studies (Public Communications Program), Bar-lian University. Her doctorate was carried out with the help of the President's Scholarship for Outstanding Students; her post doc was undertaken at Tel Aviv University.

SHLOMO I. LAMPERT was the founding director of the Bar-lian University School of Business Administration, while recently serving as the director of the Executive MBA program. He is the inventor of the PolliMeter<sup>TM</sup> and has published books and articles in academic and professional journals in various fields.

SAM LEHMAN-WILZIG is chairman of the Department of Political Studies. Bar-llan University, where he also established the Public Communications Program, serving as its head for a decade. His research specialties are in new media and political communications, having published several dozen articles and four books in these and related fields.

ABT. "Kidz TV: An Inquiry into Children's and Preschool Children's Standards." Sydney: Australian Broadcasting Tribunal, 1991.

AGOSTINELLI, G., and J. W. GRUBE. "Alcohol Counter-Advertising and the Media: A Review of Recent Research." Alcohol Research & Health 26, 1 (2002): 15–21.

Anderson, D. R., and E. P. Lorch. "Looking at Television: Action or Reaction." In Children's Understanding of Television: Research on Attention and Comprehension, J. Bryant and D. R. Anderson, eds. London: Academic Press, 1983.

ANTIL, J. H. "Conceptualization and Operationalization of Involvement." Advances in Consumer Research 11 (1984): 203–9.

ATKIN, C. K. "The Effects of Television Advertising on Children: Survey of Pre-Adolescents' Response to Television Commercials." East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University, 1975.

BAKKER, A. B. "Persuasive Communication about AIDS Prevention: Need for Cognition Determines the Impact of Message Format." AIDS Education and Prevention 11, 2 (1999): 150–62.

BELCH, G. E. "The Effects of Television Commercial Repetition on Cognitive Response and Message Acceptance." Journal of Consumer Research 9, 1 (1982): 56-65.

Bever, T. G., M. L. Smith, B. Bengen, and T. G. Johnson. "Young Viewers' Troubling Response to Television Ads." Harvard Business Review 53, 6 (1975): 109–20.

BOUSH, D. M. "Mediating Advertising Effects." In Television and the American Family, J. Bryant and J. A. Bryant, eds. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, 2001.

BRUCKS, M., G. M. ARMSTKONG, and M. E. GOLDBERG. "Children's Use of Cognitive Defenses against Television Advertising: A Cognitive Response Approach." Journal of Consumer Research 14, 4 (1988): 471–82.

CACIOFFO, J. T., and R. E. Petry. "The Need for Cognition." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 42, 1 (1982): 116–31.

Model: The Role of Affect and Affect-Laden Information Processing in Persuasion." In Cognitive and Affective Response to Advertising, P. Cafferata and A. M. Tybout, eds. Lexington,

and ——. "The Elaboration Likelihood

Cafferata and A. M. Tybout, eds. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books, 1989.

————————, and C. D. STOLTENBERG. "Pro-

cesses of Social Influence: The Elaboration Likelihood Model of Persuasion." In Advances in Cognitive-Behavioral Research and Therapy, P. C. Kendall, ed. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 1985.

CHANG, C. C. "Self-Congruency as a Cue in Different Advertising-Processing Contexts." Communication Research 29, 5 (2002): 503–36.

CHEBAT, J. C., M. CHARLEBOIS, and C. GELINAS-CHEBAT. "What Makes Open vs. Closed Conclusion Advertisements More Persuasive? The Moderating Role of Prior Knowledge and Involvement." Journal of Business Research 53, 2 (2001): 93–102.

——, S. D. Vercollier, and C. Gelinas-Chebat. "Drama Advertisements: Moderating Effects of Self-Relevance on the Relations among Empathy, Information Processing and Attitudes." Psychological Reports 92, 3, Part 1 (2003):

COULTER, K. S. "An Examination of Qualitative vs. Quantitative Elaboration Likelihood Effects." Psychology & Marketing 22, 1 (2005): 31–49.

997-1014.

——, and G. N. Punji. "The Effects of Cognitive Resource Requirements, Availability and Argument Quality on Brand Attitudes: A Melding of Elaboration Likelihood and Cognitive Resource Matching Theories." Journal of Advertising 33, 4 (2004): 53-64.

DAY, E., M. R. STAFFORD, and A. CAMACHO. "Research Note: Opportunities for Involvement Research—A Scale Development Approach. Journal of Advertising 24, 3 (1995): 69–78.

DONOHUE, T. R., L. L. HENKE, and W. A. DONOHUE. "Do Kids Know What Television Commercials Intend?" Journal of Advertising Research 20, 5 (1980): 51-57.

JOHN, D. R. "Consumer Socialization of Children: A Retrospective Look at Twenty-Five Years of Research." Journal of Consumer Research 26, 3

GUNTER, B., and J. MCALEER. Children and Tele-

vision. London: Routledge, 1997.

Publication, 2001.

(1999): 183-213.

KUNKEL, D. "Children and Television Advertising." In Handbook of Children and the Media, D. G. Singer and J. L. Singer, eds. London: Sage

———, and B. WILCOX. "Children and Media Policy." In *Handbook of Children and the Media*, D. G. Singer and J. L. Singer, eds. London: Sage Publication, 2001.

LAMPERT, S. "The Pollimeter—A New Attitude Scale." Working paper, Economics Department, Bar-Ilan University and the Jerusalem School of Business, The Hebrew University, 1978.

——. "The Attitude Pollimeter: A New Attitude Scaling Device." Journal of Marketing Research 16, 4 (1979): 578–82.

——. "A New Scale for Consumer Research."

Journal of Advertising Research 21, 2 (1981): 23-29.

LIEBERT, D. E., J. N. SPRAFKIN, R. M. LIEBERT, and E. A. RUBINSTEIN. "Effects of Television Commercial Disclaimers on Product Expectations of Children." *Journal of Communications* 27, 1 (1977): 118–24.

LISOSKX, J. M. "For All Kids' Sake: Comparing Children's Television Policy-Making in Australia, Canada and the United States." Media, Culture & Society 23, 6 (2001): 821–42.

LIVINGSTONE, S., and E. J. HELSPER "Does Advertising Literacy Mediate the Effects of Advertising on Children? A Critical Examination of Two Linked Research Literatures in Relation to Obesity and Food Choice." Journal of Commu-

nication 56, 3 (2006): 560-84.

Modification of Cognitive Structure." Journal of Consumer Research 1, 4 (1975): 49–59.

MACKENZIE, S. B., R. J. LUTZ, and G. E. BELCH.

"The Role of Attitude towards the Ad as Me-

LUTZ, R. J. "Changing Brand Attitudes through

"The Role of Attitude towards the Ad as Mediator of Advertising Effectiveness: A Test of Competing Explanations." Journal of Marketing Research 23, 2 (1986): 130–143.

MACKLIN, M. C. "Preschoolers' Understanding of the Information Function of Television Advertising." Journal of Consumer Research 14, 2 (1987): 229–38.

MARTIN, M. C. "Children's Understanding of the Intent of Advertising: A Meta-Analysis." Journal of Public Policy & Marketing 16, 2 (1997): 205-16.

imental Evaluation of a Proposed Corrective Advertising Remedy." Journal of Marketing Research 13, 2 (1976): 178–83.

MCNE 4, L. I. L. Vide of Consumers: A Handbook of

Mazis, M. B., and J. E. Adkinson. "An Exper-

McNeal, J. U. Kids as Consumers: A Handbook of Marketing to Children. New York: Lexington Books, 1992. bortant Mediator of Consumer Behavior."

dvances in Consumer Research 6 (1979): 191-96.

—, and J. C. Olson. "Are Product Attribute Beliefs the Only Mediator of Advertising Effects on Brand Attitude?" *Journal of Marketing* Research 18, 3 (1981): 318–32.

Moore, E. S. "Children and the Changing World of Advertising." Journal of Business Ethics 52, 2 2004): 161–67.

viussen, P. H., J. J. Conger, J. Kagan, and A. C. Huston. Child Development and Personalty. New York: Harper & Row, 1984.

YKEEFE, D. J. Persuasion Theory and Research. housand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication, 2002.

M.SON, J. C., and A. A. MITCHELL. "The Proess of Attitude Acquisition: The Value of the Developmental Approach to Consumer Attitude Research." Advances in Consumer Research (1975): 240-64.

AWLOWSKI, D. R., D. M. BADZINSKI, and N. 1TTCHELL. "Effects of Metaphors on Children's omprehension and Perception of Print Adversing." Journal of Advertising 27, 2 (1998): 83–98.

ererson, L., and K. E. Lewis. "Preventive dervention to Improve Children's Discrimination of Persuasive Tactics in Televised Adversing." Journal of Pediatric Psychology 13, 2 (1988): i3-70.

STERSON, P. E., D. B. JEFFREY, C. A. BRIDGE-ATER, and B. DAWSON. "How Pro-Nutrition devision Programming Affects Children's detary Habits." *Development Psychology* 20, 1 984): 55–63.

TTY, R. E., and J. T. CACIOPPO. "Issue Involveent Can Increase or Decrease Persuasion by thancing Message Relevant Cognitive Response." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 37, 10 (1979): 1915–26.

——, and ——. Attitudes and Persuasion: Classic and Contemporary Approaches. Dubuque, IA: William C. Brown, 1981.

Routes to Persuasion: Application to Advertising." In Advertising and Consumer Psychology, L. Percy and A. Woodside, eds. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books, 1983.

——, and ——. "The Effects of Involvement on Responses to Argument Quantity and Quality: Central and Peripheral Routes to Persuasion." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 46, 1 (1984): 69–81.

---, and ----. Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral Routes to Attitude Change. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986a.

——, and ——. "The Elaboration Likelihood Model of Persuasion." In Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, L. Berkowitz, ed. New York: Academic Press, 1986b.

——, and ——. "Involvement and Persuasion: Tradition Versus Integration." *Psychological Bulletin* 107, 3 (1990): 367–74.

volvement as a Determinant of Argument-Based Persuasion." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 41, 5 (1981): 847-55.

Peripheral Routes to Advertising Effectiveness: The Moderating Role of Involvement." Journal of Consumer Research 10, 2 (1983): 135-46.

\_\_\_\_\_, and D. T. WECENER. "The Elaboration Likelihood Model: Current Status and Controversies." In Dual-Process Theories in Social Psychology, S. Chaiken and Y. Trope, eds. New York: Guilford Press, 1999.

ROBERTSON, T. S., and J. R. ROSSITER. "Children and Commercial Persuasion: An Attribution Theory Analysis." *Journal of Consumer Research* 1, 1 (1974): 13–20.

ROEDDER, D., B. STERNTHAL, and B. J. CALDER.
"Attitude Behavior Consistency in Children's
Response to Television Advertising." Journal of
Marketing Research 20, 4 (1983): 337–49.

Scholten, M. "Lost and Found: The Information-Processing Model of Advertising Effectiveness." *Journal of Business Research* 37, 2 (1996): 97–104.

Schumann, D., R. E. Petty, and S. Clemons. "Predicting the Effectiveness of Different Strategies of Advertising Variation: A Test of the Repetition-Variation Hypotheses." Journal of Consumer Research 17, 2 (1990): 192-202.

Te'ent-Harari, T. "The Elaboration Likelihood Model for Children: Persuasion and Attitude Change Routes at Various Cognitive Development Stages and Levels of Involvement." Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Bar-Ilan University, 2004.

U.S. Congress. Public Law 101-437, Children's Television Act, 104 Stat. 996, October 18, 1990.

Van Evra, J. Television and Child Development. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, 1990.

WARD, S., D. B. WACKMAN, and E. WARTELLA. How Children Learn to Buy. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 1977.

WARTELLA, E. "Individual Differences in Children's Responses to Television Advertising." In Children and the Faces of Television: Teaching, Violence, Selling, E. L. Palmer and A. Dorr, eds. New York: Academic Press, 1981.

, and J. S. ETTEMA. "A Cognitive Develmental Study of Children's Attention to elevision Commercials." Communication Reearch 1, 1 (1974): 69–88.

jurnal of Consumer Psychology 12, 4 (2002):

earch 1, 1 (1974): 69–88.

VHITTLER, T. E., and J. S. SPIRA. "Model's Race:

1. Peripheral Cue in Advertising Messages?"

Young, B. M. Television, Advertising and Children. New York: Oxford University Press, 1990.

Young, B. "Does Food Advertising Influence Children's Food Choices? A Critical Review of

Some of the Recent Literature." International

Journal of Advertising 22, 4 (2003): 441-59.

ZAICHKOWSKY, J. L. "Conceptualizing Involvement." Journal of Advertising 15, 2 (1986): 4-14.

ZHANG, Y., and R. BUDA. "Moderating Effects of Need for Cognition on Responses to Positively versus Negatively Framed Advertising Messages." Journal of Advertising 28, 2 (1999): 1–15.

#### **APPENDIX**

91-301.

## he PolliMeter<sup>TM</sup>—The Main Measurement Device

to order to make the young people's reconses as easy as possible while increasig the validity and reliability of their tswers, the study used the PolliMeter ampert, 1979, 1981) as its main measurg device.

The PolliMeter is comprised of two bacomponents: a rectangular scaling dece with a sliding colored ruler that can
moved to the right or the left, in the
using. The participant's side is marked
scaled using the colors black and white.

At the outset of each question, the scale is set in a balanced position—50 percent black, 50 percent white. The participant is instructed that black represents a negative attitude and white the positive attitude. The subject is requested to set the scale—adding more black or more white to the ruler as needed, to reflect his or her response to the question.

The tester's side of the PolliMeter, which the participant cannot see, "translates" the participant's response into a numerical lated by computing X percent of the one color and (100 – X percent) of the other. That is, moving the colored scale to show 100 percent black would represent the most negative attitude, whereas moving the colored scale to 100 percent white would represent the most positive attitude. The PolliMeter has been selected as

scale. In other words, the score is calcu-

The PolliMeter has been selected as the preferred scale among subjects with a low level of education (Lampert, 1978, 1979).